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Focus and scope
The objective of Biblios Journal is to disseminate works on empirical or theoretical research, related or linked to any of the areas of Information Sciences. This approach covers all areas of these fields without discrimination of any kind. Biblios is open to the submission of articles and research from any person or organization if they meet the criteria described above.

Peer review process
The editors make a preliminary evaluation of the contributions received where the following aspects are considered:

a) Originality
b) Unpublished character
c) Thematic relevance
d) Thematic validity
e) Compliance with editorial standards
f) General structure

The contributions that are in agreement with those aspects are evaluated by specialists in the area. Biblios preferably adopts the double-blind peer review evaluation methodology. Exceptionally, it applies other forms of evaluation such as single expert review.

Open access policy
This journal provides immediate open access to its content. Our publisher, the University Library System at the University of Pittsburgh, subscribes to the Budapest Open Access Initiative's definition of Open Access:

“By ‘open access’ to this [peer-reviewed scientific literature, we mean its free availability on the public Internet, allowing any user to read, download, copy, distribute, print, search, or use it for any lawful purpose, without any financial, legal, or technical barriers, outside of those that are inseparable from those involved in accessing the Internet itself. The only limitation on reproduction and distribution, and the only role of copyright in this domain, should be to give authors control over the integrity of their works and the right to be properly acknowledged and cited.”

Researchers engage in this process for the public good, however, due to cost barriers or usage restrictions imposed by other publishers, research results are not available to the entire community of potential users. Our mission is to support greater global knowledge sharing by allowing research published in this journal to be open to the public and reusable according to the terms of the Creative Commons CC-BY license.

In addition, we encourage authors to pre-publish their manuscripts in institutional repositories or on their websites before and during the process of submitting their papers for review, and to publish the PDF of the final version in the Journal after publication. These practices benefit authors with productive exchanges as well as increased citation of published papers.

There are no article processing fees, submission fees, or any other charges required of authors for submitting their articles to this journal.
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Declaration of good ethical practices

BIBLIOS is a publication committed to guaranteeing the quality of its contents and the good exercise of ethical practices by each of its actors. This statement, based on the Guidelines on Good Practices for Publications developed by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), raises a set of ethical issues focused on each of the important elements and roles involved in the editorial process.
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Frequency of publication
Bibilios is a publication that adheres to the model of continuous publication.
Until 2021, Biblios published quarterly (3 issues per year). During 2022, Biblios opted for semi-annual publication (2 issues per year) and 'online first' mode.

Fees for submission and publication of texts
BIBLIOS does not charge any fee for published texts or for texts submitted for evaluation, review, publication, distribution or download.
Interoperability protocol

BIBLIOS incorporates the OAI-PMH (Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting) interoperability protocol that allows it to be harvested by other distribution systems. The electronic address to access is:

http://biblios.pitt.edu/ojs/index.php/biblios/oai

History of the magazine

Biblios was created in 1999 in Peru with the purpose of disseminating information on the activities of information professionals, publishing news and opinion articles.

Since 2001, the journal has had a regional scope by publishing research articles on Library and Information Science from various Latin American countries.

In 2007 it became a refereed journal by including peer review in its editorial process.

During the pandemic, the Biblios team had the intention of continuing with the editorial process on a regular basis, and despite the inconveniences, it was possible to publish the articles being evaluated gradually during that period and afterwards.

Currently, Biblios is a recognized publication, with more than twenty years of uninterrupted activity and a constant process of renewal.

Inclusions

Biblios is included in several platforms of scientific journals:

1) DOAJ
2) ERIH PLUS
3) QUALIS
4) SCOPUS
5) WEB OF SCIENCE
6) SJR
7) SCOPUS
8) JournalTOCs
9) MIAR
10) Sherpa Romeo
11) ISSN Register

Social networks

Biblios is on Facebook to disseminate the research published and interact with readers and authors, we invite you to follow our fanpage:

Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/bibliosjournal